

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA FAKULTI TEKNOLOGI DAN KEJURUTERAAN ELEKTRIK

EVALUATION FORM MASTER PROJECT 2 (MEKG 5397/MEKH 5317)

SUPERVISOR

STUDENT DETAILS				
Name	:			
Matrix No.	:			
Contact No.	:			
Project Title	:			
Supervisor	:			
A. Consultation (5%)				
Q1 Punctuality (5 Mark	ks x 0.25 Weightage) (CLO 4, PLO 5)		
1	2	3	4	5
Impromptu any time convenient.	Very often late at prior appointed time.	Occasionally late at prior appointed time.	In time at prior appointed time (last minute).	In time at prior appointed time (arranged much earlier)
Q2 Responsibility (5 Å	Marks x 0.25 Weightag	e) (CLO 4, PLO 5)		
1	2	3	4	5
Hardly meet with supervisor.	Irregularly, once a month.	Fortnightly.	Every week after constant reminder.	Every week without being reminded.
Q3 Autonomy (5 Marks	s x 0.25 Weightage) (C	CLO 4, PLO 5)		1
1	2	3	4	5
With evidence with new materials but not a clue on the matter.	Evidence of new materials but did not try hard enough to explain well.	Evidence of new materials, try very hard but could not convey the message precisely.	Evidence of new materials and explain well with some promptings by the supervisor.	Well prepared and explain with confidence with evidence of new effort and materials.

Q4 Independent (5 Marks x 0.25 Weightage) (CLO 4, PLO 5)

1	2	3	4	5
Could not demonstrate any independence and inter-personal skills.	Weak independence and inter-personal skills.	Moderate independence and inter-personal skills.	Good independence and inter-personal skills.	Demonstrate significant independence and interpersonal skills.

Comments	Overall mar	·ks		
	Task	Marks	X Weightage	Actual Marks
	Q1		0.25	
	Q2		0.25	
	Q3		0.25	
	Q4		0.25	
	TOTAL N	1ARKS (5%)		
Evaluated and comment by:				
(Supervisor Signature) Stamp				
Contact. No. :				
Date :				

A. Final Report (25%)						
	Turnitin Re rejected if Turnitin repor if the percentage is less n or equal to 15%.	t is more than 30%. Pro		Ac	cept	Reject
Q1 Objective, Problem	n Statement and Scor	oe of Work (5 Marks)	(1 Weightage) (0	CLO 1,	PLO 2)	
1	2	3	4	•		5
Incorrect objectives, problem statement and/or scope.	Vague objectives, problem statement and/or scope.	Acceptable objectives, problem statement and scope.	Concise object problem statement scope.		details problem	ent precision of in objectives, statement and scope.
Q2 Literature Review	(5 Marks x 1 Weightag	re) (CLO 2, PLO 3)				
1	2	3	4			5
Insufficient, based on a single source, the internet or book or magazine only.	Sufficient information. Poor analysis and synthesis. Information is poorly organized.	Sufficient information. Acceptable analysis and synthesis. Information is moderately organized.	Information is of analyzed, evaluated well synthesized organization information	ited and I. Clear of	synt evalu	ent analysis, thesis and lation. Well ed information.
Q3 Project Methodolo	gy: Problem Solving	(5 Marks x 1 Weighta	ge) (CLO 2, PLO	3)		
1	2	3	4			5
Incorrect approach/ method(s)/ design to address the problem.	Insufficient articulation of approach/ method(s)/ design to address the problem.	Sufficient description of approach/ method(s)/ design to address the problem.	Clear descripti approach/ meth design to addre problem.	nod(s)/ ess the	thorough approac design t	s accurate and description of th/ method(s)/ o address the roblem.
Q4 Project Methodolo (5 Marks x 0.5 Weighta		legal, ethical, profes	sional and sust	ainable	practice	
1	2	3	4			5
Does not consider legal, ethical and professional and/or sustainable practice.	Mention legal, ethical, professional and/or sustainable practice.	Minimal adherence to relevant legal, ethical, professional and/or sustainable practice.	Adhere to releva ethical, profession sustainable pra	nt legal, nal and	ethical, p clear d	o relevant legal rofessional and escription on able practice.
Q5 Result Reliability a	and Discussion (5 Ma	rks x 1 Weightage) (C	LO 2, PLO 3)			
1	2	3	4			5
Result is not reliable. No influential factors taken into account. Unrelated discussion of results.	Influential factors such as the effect of error,	as the effect of error, uncertainties in	as the effect of uncertainties in	ne and only. s such error, making oles has	and trus the e measu validit factors effe uncertain measure	well presented sted based on experiment, urement and y. Influential s such as the ct of error, nties in making d variables has discussed.

discussed.

been discussed.

Q6 Reference (5 Marks x 0.2 Weightage) (CLO 7, PLO 8)

1	2	3	4	5
No technical papers, only notes and web links, irrelevant, less than 5 references.	Technical papers cited, at least 5 and up to 10 references.	Relevant technical papers cited, at least 10 and up to 15 references.	Relevant and recent technical papers cited, at least 15 and up to 20 references.	High impact and most recent technical papers cited, more than 20 references.

Q7 Format, organization and writing skills (5 Marks x 0.3 Weightage) (CLO 5, PLO 6)

		(**************************************			
1	2	3	4	5	
Format not followed, content is poorly organized. Unable to convey ideas. Poor sentence construction and mistakes in grammar/language.	clear and effective enough. Acceptable	Acceptable formatting and organized content. Convey ideas clearly and effectively with good sentence construction with some mistakes in grammar/language.	content. Convey ideas clearly and effectively with good sentence	Good formatting and well organized content. Convey ideas clearly and effectively with good sentence construction, no mistake in grammar/language.	

Comments	C	verall mai	rks
		Task	

Task	Marks	X Weightage	Actual Marks
Q1		1	
Q2		1	
Q3		1	
Q4		0.5	
Q5		1	
Q6		0.2	
Q7		0.3	
TOTAL MARKS (25%)			

Evaluated and comment by:

(Supervisor Signature) Stamp	

Contact. No. :

Date :