

WORK PROGRESS WEEK 6 (5%)

(WE1) Independent and life-long learning (Weightage: 1.0)		PLO12: Life-Long Learning	
Student responses excellently in the meetings and discussions. Discussions on progress were highly effective.		10	9
Student responses fairly in the meetings and discussions. Discussions on progress was fair.		8	7 6
Student gives minimal responses in the meetings and discussions. Discussions on progress was also minimal.		5	4 3
Student gives poor responses in the meetings and discussions.		2	1
No meeting or discussion was conducted with the supervisor.		0	
(WE2) Critical thinking (Weightage: 3.0)		PLO12: Life-Long Learning	
Displays a high level of critical thinking in identifying the advantages and disadvantages of new technologies. Successfully connects theory with practical applications. Proposed solutions are logical and well-supported.		10	9
Show good critical thinking with clear arguments regarding new technologies. Analysis reflects a solid understanding but could be further developed in depth and justification.		8	7 6
Shows moderate critical thinking with basic arguments in evaluating new technologies. Lacks deep analysis, and proposed solutions are not sufficiently convincing.		5	4 3
Shows little critical thinking in understanding new technologies. Most arguments are descriptive rather than analytical.		2	1
Displays almost no critical thinking. Evaluation of new technologies is extremely weak or irrelevant.		0	
(WE3) Effort (Weightage: 3.0)		PLO11: Project Management and Finance	
Weekly progress was up to Week 5 and Week 6 with respect to Gantt chart. Student demonstrated excellent project execution according to plan. Able to clearly explain and justify chosen methods/approaches.		10	9
Weekly progress was up to Week 3 and Week 4 with respect to Gantt chart. Student demonstrated adequate project execution according to plan. Able to seemingly explain and justify chosen methods/approaches.		8	7 6
Weekly progress was up to Week 2 with respect to Gantt chart. Student demonstrated poor project execution according to plan. Poor explanation and justification of the chosen methods/approaches.		5	4 3
Weekly progress was up to Week 1 with respect to Gantt chart. Student demonstrated limited project execution and unable to explain and justify chosen methods/approaches.		2	1
No progress.		0	

(WE4) Organization (Weightage: 3.0)	PLO11: Project Management and Finance		
Materials/source of information related to subject matter is well-organized.	10	9	
Materials/source of information related to subject matter is organized fairly.	8	7	6
Materials/source of information related to subject matter is slightly organized.	5	4	3
Materials/source of information related to subject matter is poorly organized.	2	1	
Not able to organize materials/source of information related to subject matter.	0		