

FTKE/PSM2/PPE/VER_1_2025 Endorsed date : 23/9/2025

NAME OF STUDENT		
STUDENT MATRIC NO.	ACADEMIC SESSION	
DEPARTMENT / COURSE		
TITLE OF PROJECT		
NAME OF SUPERVISOR		

SUPERVISOR EVALUATION (40%)

Scale from 1 (very poor) to 10 (very good)

1	Work Progress Week 6 (5%)	Scale
WE1	Responsiveness	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1
WE2	Initiative	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1
WE3	Effort	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1
WE4	Organization	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

2	Work Progress Week 12 (5%)	Scale
WE5	Responsiveness	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1
WE6	Initiative	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1
WE7	Effort	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1
WE8	Organization	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

3	General Conduct (30%)	Scale	
GC1	Project Planning	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1	
GC2	Project Execution	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1	
GC3	Data Analysis	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1	

GC4	Project Accomplishment	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1
GC5	Ethics	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1
GC6	Responsibilities	10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Note:

- 1. The evaluated marks should be key in into Microsoft Forms
- 2. This form does not need to be submitted elsewhere



FTKE/PSM2/PPE/VER_1_2025 Endorsed date : 10/09/2025

WORK PROGRESS WEEK 6 (5%)

(WE1) Responsiveness (A5, Weightage: 1.5)	PLO12: Life-Long Learning
Student responses excellently in the meetings and discussions. Discussions on progress were highly effective.	10 9
Student responses fairly in the meetings and discussions. Discussions on progress was fair.	8 7 6
Student gives minimal responses in the meetings and discussions. Discussions on progress was also minimal.	5 4 3
Student gives poor responses in the meetings and discussions.	2 1
No meeting or discussion was conducted with the supervisor.	0
(WE2) Initiative (A5, Weightage: 3.0)	PLO12: Life-Long Learning
Weekly progress was up to Week 5 and Week 6 with respect to Gantt chart. Student demonstrated excellent project execution according to plan. Able to clearly explain and justify chosen methods/approaches.	10 9
Weekly progress was up to Week 3 and Week 4 with respect to Gantt chart. Student demonstrated adequate project execution according to plan. Able to seemingly explain and justify chosen methods/approaches.	8 7 6
Weekly progress was up to Week 2 with respect to Gantt chart. Student demonstrated poor project execution according to plan. Poor explanation and justification of the chosen methods/approaches.	5 4 3
Weekly progress was up to Week 1 with respect to Gantt chart. Student demonstrated limited project execution and unable to explain and justify chosen methods/approaches.	2 1
Weekly progress was up to Week 5 and Week 6 with respect to Gantt chart. Student demonstrated excellent project execution according to plan. Able to clearly explain and justify chosen methods/approaches.	0
(WE3) Effort (Weightage: 3.0)	PLO11: Project Management and Finance
Excellent effort to detail out information.	10 9
Sufficient effort to detail out information.	8 7 6
Minimal effort to detail out information.	5 4 3
Least effort to detail out information.	2 1
No effort to detail out information.	0

(WE4) Organization (Weightage: 2.5)	PLO11: Project Management and Finance
Materials/source of information related to subject matter is well-organized.	10 9
Materials/source of information related to subject matter is organized fairly.	8 7 6
Materials/source of information related to subject matter is slightly organized.	5 4 3
Materials/source of information related to subject matter is poorly organized.	2 1
Not able to organize materials/source of information related to subject matter.	0



FTKE/PSM2/PPE/VER_1_2025 Endorsed date : 5/3/2025

WORK PROGRESS WEEK 12 (5%)

(WE5) Responsiveness (A5, Weightage: 1.50)	PLO12: Life-Long Learning
Student responses excellently in the meetings and discussions. Discussions on progress were highly effective.	10 9
Student responses fairly in the meetings and discussions. Discussions on progress was fair.	8 7 6
Student gives minimal responses in the meetings and discussions. Discussions on progress was also minimal.	5 4 3
Student gives poor responses in the meetings and discussions.	2 1
No meeting or discussion was conducted with the supervisor.	0
(WE6) Initiative (A5, Weightage: 3.00)	PLO12: Life-Long Learning
Weekly progress was up to Week 11 and Week 12 with respect to Gantt chart. Student demonstrated excellent project execution according to plan. Able to clearly explain and justify chosen methods/approaches.	10 9
Weekly progress was up to Week 9 and Week 10 with respect to Gantt chart. Student demonstrated adequate project execution according to plan. Able to seemingly explain and justify chosen methods/approaches.	8 7 6
Weekly progress was up to Week 8 with respect to Gantt chart. Student demonstrated poor project execution according to plan. Poor explanation and justification of the chosen methods/approaches.	5 4 3
Weekly progress was up to Week 7 with respect to Gantt chart. Student demonstrated limited project execution and unable to explain and justify chosen methods/approaches.	2 1
No progress.	0
(WE7) Effort (Weightage: 3.0)	PLO11: Project Management and Finance
Excellent effort to detail out information.	10 9
Sufficient effort to detail out information.	8 7 6
Minimal effort to detail out information.	5 4 3
Least effort to detail out information.	2 1
No effort to detail out information.	0

(WE8) Organization (Weightage: 3.0)	PLO11: Project Management and Finance
Materials/source of information related to subject matter is well-organized.	10 9
Materials/source of information related to subject matter is organized fairly.	8 7 6
Materials/source of information related to subject matter is slightly organized.	5 4 3
Materials/source of information related to subject matter is poorly organized.	2 1
Not able to organize materials/source of information related to subject matter.	0



FTKE/PSM2/PPE/VER_1_2025 Endorsed date : 5/3/2025

GENERAL CONDUCT (30%)

(GC1) Project Planning (Weightage: 2.00)	PL04: Experimental investigation SP1,SP2
Able to determine excellent investigation which involves a variety of factors which may impose conflicting constraints	10 9
Able to determine good investigation which involves a variety of factors which may impose conflicting constraints	8 7 6
Determine satisfactory investigation which involves a variety of factors which may impose conflicting constraints	5 4 3
Poor ability to determine investigation which involves a variety of factors which may impose conflicting constraints	2 1
Unable to determine investigation which involves a variety of factors which may impose conflicting constraints	0
(GC2) Project Execution (Weightage: 2.25)	PL04: Experimental investigation SP1
Shows excellent knowledge of technological application to perform the experiments/simulations with minimal supervision.	10 9
Shows fair knowledge of technological application to perform the experiments/simulations with frequent supervision needed.	8 7 6
Shows minimal knowledge of technological application to perform the experiments/simulations with frequent supervision needed.	5 4 3
Shows poor knowledge of technological application to perform the experiments/simulations with constant supervision needed.	2 1
Unable to perform experiments/simulations without assistance and supervision.	0
(GC3) Data Analysis (Weightage: 2.5)	PL04: Experimental investigation SP1,SP3
Able to provide excellent interpretation and presentation of the results	10 9
Able to provide good interpretation and presentation of the results	8 7 6
Provide satisfactory interpretation and presentation of the results	5 4 3
Poor ability to provide interpretation and presentation of the results	2 1
Unable to provide interpretation and presentation of the results	0

(GC4) Project Accomplishment (Weightage: 1.5)	PL04: Experimental investigation SP4
Project accomplished excellently according to the specified objectives and scope of work with extra achievement (potential for publication in journal/conference indexed/non indexed and/or participation in any innovation competition).	10 9
Good project accomplishment according to the specified objectives and scope of work.	8 7 6
Project fairly accomplished according to the specified objectives and scope of work.	5 4 3
Project poorly accomplished according to the specified objectives and scope of work.	2 1
Project is not accomplished.	0
(GC5) Ethics (Weightage: 1.00)	PLO8: Ethics
Displays excellent professional ethics in the execution of the project	10 9
Displays good professional ethics in the execution of the project	8 7 6
Displays fair professional ethics in the execution of the project	5 4 3
Displays poor professional ethics in the execution of the project	2 1
No professional ethics in the execution of the project	0
(GC6) Responsibilities (Weightage: 0.75)	PLO8: Ethics
Displays excellent professional responsibilities in the execution of the project	10 9
Displays good professional responsibilities in the execution of the project	8 7 6
Displays fair professional responsibilities in the execution of the project	5 4 3
Displays poor professional responsibilities in the execution of the project	2 1
No professional responsibilities in the execution of the project	0

- *SP1- Students' proposed work should utilize fundamental engineering knowledge along with the application of specialist knowledge for the proposed work. (Project Planning, Project Execution, Data Analysis)
- *SP2- The proposed work needs to consider a variety of factors which may impose conflicting constraints, such as the required technical specification and the limited budget available. Such limitations shall be identified and reported in the literature review section. (Project Planning)
- *SP3 The proposed work should be demonstrated by the application of well-proven analysis techniques and be able to solve in well accepted ways. (Data Analysis)
- * SP4 The proposed work belongs to families of familiar problems which are solved in well-accepted ways (Project Accomplishment)