

#### UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA FAKULTI TEKNOLOGI DAN KEJURUTERAAN ELEKTRIK

### **SUPERVISOR EVALUATION FORM (FYP 2)**

### **STUDENT DETAILS**

Name :	
Matrix No.	: Course : BELG / BELM
Contact No.	
Project Code	: P - C - E - M -
Project Title	:
Supervisor	:

A-I. Project Implementation	15
Has significant progress in week 1-2 **	1
Has significant progress in week 3 -4**	1
Has significant progress in week 5-6 **	1
Has significant progress in week 7-8 **	1
Has significant progress in week 9 -10**	1
Has significant progress in week 11 **	1
Has significant progress in week 12	1
Final decision to drop FYP2 if progress is not significant	
Has significant progress in week 13-15 **	3
Submission of final report based on feedback by supervisor and panel on week 15.	
Has submitted "Extended Abstract"	5
Address problem statement, methodology, results, conclusion, and references.	
TOTAL (A)	/15

<sup>\*\*</sup> Significant progress **MUST** be recorded with **DATE** in student's **LOGBOOK**. Significant progress must be **TANGIBLE**. It could be presentation slides, CAD drawings, algorithm flowcharts, actual codes, test procedure, simulation/experimental results with discussion, diagrams, chapters of final report such as introduction, literature review etc.

Marks **COULD NOT BE BACKDATED** to help students gradually progress in their project. Significant progress reflects student's discipline, enthusiasm and ability to carry out tasks independently.

Note: Advice student to **DROP** FYP2 if accumulated marks are less than 3 by week 8. **BAR** from submission if accumulated marks are less than 6 by week 11

## **PROJECT REPORT**

A-II. Turnitin Report Note: Project report is rejected if Turnitin report is more than 30%. Proceed with	Accept	Reject
the next evaluation ONLY if the percentage is less than or equal to 30%.		

			Actual
B-II. Report (See Appendices B1 and B2)	Marks (/10)	Weight	mark
B-1: Abstract		0.2	
B-2: Motivation		0.1	
B-3: Problem Statement		0.1	
B-4: Objective		0.1	
B-5: Scope		0.1	
B-6: Literature Survey and Project	Background		
B-6a: Analysis of information		0.1	
B-6b: Synthesis of information		0.1	
B-6c: Evaluation of information		0.2	
B-7: Methodology			
B-7a: Validity of method		0.3	
B-7b: Reliability of method		0.2	
B-7c: Ethical consideration		0.1	
B-7d: Safety of method		0.1	
B-8: Results			
B-8a: Analysis of information		0.2	
B-8b: Synthesis of information		0.2	
B-8c: Evaluation of information		0.2	
B-9: Conclusion and Recommendation		0.2	
TOTAL (B-II)		/25	
TOTAL SUPERVISOR MARKS ((A) + (B-II))		/40	

# STUDENT OVERALL PERFORMANCE

1.0	Project Implementation (A) - Supervisor	[15%]	
2.0	Project Report Technical Content (B-I) –Supervisor	[25%]	
3.0	Project Report Technical Content (B-II) – Panel 1	[25%]	
4.0	Project Report Presentation and writing skills (C) - Panel 1	[5%]	
5.0	Seminar (D=D-I +D-II) – Panel 1 & Panel 2	[30%]	
	GRAND TOTAL		/100%

## SUPERVISOR COMMENT/JUSTIFICATION

	Type of project	Hardware Software				
-	Student final report is written in English	Yes				
	Student project has link with industry  If YES, please name the industry/company	Yes No				
-	Student project has link with research grant If YES, please state the research grant	Yes				
_						
Cor	nment:					
Evaluated and comment by:						
Star	(Supervisor Signature) mp :					
Date	e :					